

Providing Choice & Value

Generic CT and MRI Contrast Agents





This information is current as of July 20, 2025.

Comparison of 30-Day Outcomes after Carotid Artery Stenting in Patients with Near-Occlusion and Severe Stenosis: A Propensity Score Matching Analysis

C. Stechovský, K. Hulíková Tesárková, P. Hájek, M. Horváth, E. Hansvenclová and J. Veselka

AJNR Am J Neuroradiol published online 18 August 2022 http://www.ajnr.org/content/early/2022/08/18/ajnr.A7598

Comparison of 30-Day Outcomes after Carotid Artery Stenting in Patients with Near-Occlusion and Severe Stenosis: A Propensity Score Matching Analysis

©С. Štěchovský, ©К. Hulíková Tesárková, ©Р. Hájek, ©М. Horváth, ©Е. Hansvenclová, and ©Ј. Veselka

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Carotid artery near-occlusion is a type of severe stenosis with complete or partial distal luminal collapse and intracranial collaterals. This study aimed to compare 30-day outcomes and 10-year survival in patients undergoing carotid artery stenting for near-occlusion with a control group of patients with severe stenosis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: We used data from a registry of 639 patients who underwent 789 carotid artery stenting procedures between 2005 and 2021. The primary end point was any stroke or death within 30 days after carotid artery stenting. Patients were matched using propensity scores based on 6 variables.

RESULTS: Propensity score matching yielded 84 subjects in the near-occlusion group matched with 168 subjects in the control group. In the matched cohort, the primary end point occurred in 7 (8.3%) and 11 (6.6%) patients in the near-occlusion and control groups, respectively (P = .611). In the unmatched cohort, the primary end point occurred in 7 (8.3%) and 19 (4.1%) patients (P = .101). Survival in the near-occlusion group versus the control group in the matched cohort at 5 and 10 years was 69.8% (95% CI, 58.0%–78.8%) versus 77.3% (95% CI, 70.0%–83.1%) and 53.3% (95% CI, 39.9%–65.0%) versus 53.3% (95% CI, 44.5%–61.4%) (log-rank, P = .798).

CONCLUSIONS: Carotid stent placement in patients with ICA near-occlusion was not associated with an increased 30-day risk of stroke or death compared with severe stenosis. Survival up to 10 years after carotid artery stenting was similar in both groups.

 $\label{eq:ABBREVIATIONS: CAS = carotid artery stenting; ECA = external carotid artery; ESC = European Society of Cardiology; ESVS = European Society for Vascular Surgery$

C arotid artery near-occlusion is a type of severe stenosis with complete or incomplete distal luminal collapse and intracranial collaterals.¹ Various terms have been used to describe near-occlusion: subtotal stenosis or occlusion, functional occlusion, string sign, slim sign, critical stenosis, and others.² Calculating the percentage stenosis for ICA near-occlusion according to the NASCET criteria^{3,4} is a fallacious approach. Near-occlusion can be confused with complete occlusion or severe stenosis when imaging with CTA or sonography is suboptimal.⁴ The multitude of terms, subtle variation in the definition, and diagnostic ambiguity lead to uncertainty about the true incidence, prognosis, and optimal treatment of ICA near-

Please address correspondence to Cyril Štěchovský, MD, Department of Cardiology, Motol University Hospital, V Uvalu 84, 150 06, Prague, Czech Republic; e-mail: stechovsky@gmail.com

Indicates article with online supplemental data. http://dx.doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A7598 occlusion. Some early observational studies^{5,6} suggested that near-occlusion carries a high risk of stroke and should be promptly recognized and treated by endarterectomy. This suggestion was later negated by the re-analysis of the NASCET and the European Carotid Surgery Trial.^{3,7} Patients with near-occlusion were either excluded or not assessed or reported in further randomized clinical trials comparing surgery or conservative treatment of asymptomatic stenosis.² Both current European and American guidelines state that there is no clear evidence that endarterectomy or carotid artery stenting (CAS) prevents stroke in patients with near-occlusion of the ICA.8,9 Another position paper admits that the prognoses of asymptomatic near-occlusion and periprocedural risks of CAS or endarterectomy are unknown.¹⁰ A recent meta-analysis showed that the 30-day risk of stroke or death after endarterectomy or CAS for symptomatic ICA near-occlusion was 2%.11

In this study, we report 30-day outcomes after CAS in patients with ICA near-occlusion and compare them with a control group of patients with severe stenosis after CAS using a propensity score matching analysis. Additionally, we report 10-year survival after CAS.

Received March 2, 2022; accepted after revision June 17.

From the Department of Cardiology (C.Š., P.H., M.H., E.H., J.V.). Second Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, Motol University Hospital, Prague, Czech Republic; and Department of Demography and Geodemography (K.H.T.), Faculty of Science, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic.

Table 1: Patient characteristics

	Unmatched Cohort			Matched Cohort			
	Near-Occlusion (n = 84 Patients)	Control Group (n = 460 Patients)	P Value	Near-Occlusion (n = 84 Patients)	Control Group (n = 168 Patients)	P Value	
Age (mean) (yr)	70.3 (SD, 9.7)	68.6 (SD, 8.3)	.091	70.3 (SD, 9.7)	70.2 (SD, 7.7)	.943	
Men	68%	65%	.620	68%	64%	.576	
Current smokers	43%	40%	.629	43%	37%	.411	
Arterial hypertension	88%	88%	1.000	88%	88%	1.000	
Total plasma cholesterol level (mean)	4.4 (SD, 1.1)	4.2 (SD, 1.0)	.126	4.4 (SD, 1.1)	4.4 (SD, 1.1)	.664	
(mmol/L)							
LDL cholesterol level (mean) (mmol/L)	2.6 (SD, 0.9)	2.4 (SD, 0.8)	.171	2.6 (SD, 0.9)	2.5 (SD, 0.9)	.629	
HDL cholesterol level (mean) (mmol/L)	1.06 (SD, 0.31)	1.09 (SD, 0.33)	.695	1.06 (SD, 0.31)	1.09 (SD, 0.33)	.659	
Plasma triglyceride level (mean) (mmol/L)	1.93 (SD, 1.2)	1.8 (SD, 1.1)	.638	1.93 (SD, 1.2)	1.78 (SD, 1.0)	.614	
CRP level (median) (IQR) (mg/L)	3.6 (1.1-8.6)	2.3 (0.8-5.5)	.008	3.6 (1.1-8.6)	2.1 (0.9-4.9)	.010	
Body mass index (mean)	28.6 (SD, 4.8)	28.1 (SD, 4.4)	.483	28.6 (SD, 4.8)	28.0 (SD, 4.1)	.540	
Diabetes	33%	42%	.184	33%	37%	.676	
Chronic kidney disease	27%	22%	.324	27%	24%	.644	
Chronic bronchopulmonary disease	14%	13%	.723	14%	15%	1.000	
Peripheral arterial disease	32%	39%	.271	32%	33%	.888	
Heart failure with reduced ejection fraction	15%	11%	.320	15%	11%	.374	
Previous coronary artery bypass	20%	18%	.649	20%	17%	.490	
Need for heart surgery within 30 days	5%	9%	.281	5%	10%	.224	
Previous myocardial infarction	24%	28%	.506	24%	30%	.302	
Previous percutaneous coronary artery	23%	32%	.094	23%	24%	.876	
intervention							
Known multivessel coronary artery disease	39%	40%	1.000	39%	35%	.490	
Previous stroke	37%	30%	.252	37%	40%	.683	
Ipsilateral cerebral ischemic symptoms in the	37%	21%	.003	37%	33%	.577	
past month							
Ipsilateral cerebral ischemic symptoms in the	45%	29%	.005	45%	46%	.894	
past 6 months (ie, symptomatic stenosis)							
Patients with ≥ 1 risk factors for	82%	80%	.766	82%	82%	1.000	
endarterectomy ^a							

Note:-IQR indicates interquartile range; CRP, C-reactive protein.

^a One of the following: left ventricle ejection fraction of \leq 40%, chronic bronchopulmonary disease, prior myocardial infarction, coronary artery bypass grafts, or age 75 years or older.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We retrospectively analyzed data from a single-center (Motol University Hospital) registry of 639 patients who underwent 789 CAS procedures between 2005 and 2021. Patients who had bilateral CAS or CAS for in-stent restenosis were excluded from the analysis. Some of the patients were included in previous studies.¹²⁻¹⁶

Patient Assessment, Procedure, and Follow-up

Patients were referred to carotid angiography and CAS by a neurologist, cardiologist, or vascular surgeon on the basis of Doppler sonography and/or CTA. Patient characteristics are listed in Table 1, and their medication before CAS is found in the Online Supplemental Data. Stenosis was quantified angiographically according to the NASCET criteria.³ Inclusion criteria were symptomatic (\geq 50%) or asymptomatic (\geq 70%) stenosis of the ICA in a patient who was considered eligible for CAS. Carotid stenosis was considered symptomatic if the patient had a stroke, TIA, or amaurosis fugax ipsilateral to the stenosis in the previous 6 months. All patients provided written informed consent for the procedure. Procedures were performed via the femoral artery using a 7F or 8F guiding catheter or a long 6F sheath. The antithrombotic regimen included administration of 500 mg of aspirin and 300 mg of clopidogrel before CAS in naïve patients; a bolus of heparin (70 IU/kg) was administrated at the beginning of CAS. Types and manufacturers of stents and embolic protection devices

were at the operator's discretion and current availability. Detailed angiographic and procedural characteristics are listed in the Online Supplemental Data. After CAS, patients were examined by a physician, and all symptomatic patients were examined by a neurologist. Postprocedural CTA or MR imaging was performed in all cases of clinically suspected stroke. Patients were discharged with dual antiplatelet therapy for 1 month and single antiplatelet therapy and high-dose statin therapy life-long. Follow-up consisted of a history and review of medical documentation if the patient had neurologic symptoms and Doppler sonography at 1, 6, and 12 months after CAS. Information about vital status was retrieved from the National Death Index. In the deceased patients, cause of death was adjudicated as cardiovascular or noncardiovascular. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki principles.

Definitions and End Points

Patients in the registry were divided into near-occlusion and control groups. Angiographic criteria described in the NASCET^{2,3} were used to distinguish near-occlusion from conventional stenosis: 1) partial or complete collapse of the distal lumen (diameter of the ipsilateral distal ICA less than that of the contralateral distal ICA), 2) diameter of ipsilateral distal ICA less than that of the ipsilateral external carotid artery (ECA), 3) delayed filling of the ipsilateral distal ICA, and 4) intracranial collaterals (Fig 1). Two



FIG 1. An angiogram in a patient with right ICA near-occlusion. *A*, In a selective angiogram of the left carotid artery, no stenosis is present; the diameter of the ICA is larger than that of the ECA; and there is rapid filling of the intracranial circulation and intracranial collaterals to the right ACA and MCA. *B*, Near-occlusion of the right ICA. The diameter of the distal ICA (*thick arrow*) is smaller than that of the ECA (*thin arrow*) and much smaller than that of the contralateral ICA. Late filling of the distal ICA. *C*, In the late phase of the angiogram, the contrast filling stops at the level of carotid siphon (*thick arrow*). The intracranial circulation is not visualized because the contrast is diluted by collateral flow. ACA indicates anterior cerebral artery.

of the 4 criteria were required for the diagnosis. In patients without acute neurologic symptomatology, intracranial collaterals must always be present in the near-occlusion. The primary end point consisted of any stroke or death within 30 days after CAS. Ischemic stroke was defined as an acute neurologic event with focal symptoms, lasting for \geq 24 hours. Minor stroke was defined as a new neurologic deficit that resolved within 30 days without any limiting disability (\leq 1 on the mRS) or return to baseline status. Major stroke was defined as a new neurologic deficit with persisting disability (\geq 2 on mRS). TIA was defined as an episode of new neurologic dysfunction attributed to focal cerebral ischemia, with resolution within 24 hours.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SAS software, Version 9.4 (SAS Institute). Data are presented as means (SD) or median and interquartile range or counts and proportions. The Student *t* test or Mann-Whitney *U* test was used to evaluate the difference among continuous variables, and the Fisher exact test was used for the evaluation among categoric variables. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis was used to estimate long-term survival in patients after CAS with 95% confidence intervals. Given the inherent differences between patients with near-occlusion and the control group, using the logit model, we calculated propensity scores for the following variables as covariates: age, sex, total plasma cholesterol, diabetes, previous percutaneous coronary intervention, and ipsilateral cerebral ischemic symptoms in the past 6 months. Other potential covariates were confirmed as nonsignificant or

highly correlated to other variables. Matching on the propensity scores was performed using the 1:2 nearest neighbor method without replacement.^{17,18} A 2-sided *P* value \leq .05 was considered to indicate statistical significance.

RESULTS

A total of 544 patients were analyzed, 84 (15%) in the near-occlusion group and 460 (85%) in the control group. Propensity score matching yielded 84 subjects in the near-occlusion group matched with 168 subjects in the control group (Table 1 and Online Supplemental Data). Patients in the unmatched cohort with near-occlusion had symptomatic stenosis significantly more often, higher median C-reactive protein levels, used less statin therapy, had longer fluoroscopic times, and more often required predilation (P < .05 for all) (Table 1 and Online Supplemental Data). After matching, patients with near-occlusion had significantly higher median C-reactive protein levels and longer fluoroscopy time and more often required predilation (P < .05 for all) (Table 1 and Online Supplemental Data). (Table 1 and Online Supplemental Data) (Table 1 and Online Supplemental Data).

Data on major adverse in-hospital and 30-day events were available in 544 (100%) and 527 (97%) patients, respectively. Vital status at the end of the follow-up was available in all patients from the National Death Index. In the unmatched cohort, the primary end point occurred in 7 (8.3%) patients in the near-occlusion group and 19 (4.1%) patients in the control group (P = .101) and in the matched cohort in 7 (8.3%) and 11 (6.6%) patients (P = .611). Individual components of the end point are summarized in Table 2. In the matched subgroup of symptomatic patients, the primary end

	Unmatched Cohort			Matched Cohort			
	Near-Occlusion (n = 84 Patients)	Control Group (n = 460 Patients)	<i>P</i> Value	Near-Occlusion $(n = 84 \text{ Patients})$	Control Group (n = 168 Patients)	P Value	
TIA during hospitalization (No.) (%)	6 (7.1)	12 (2.6)	.045	6 (7.1)	7 (4.2)	.368	
A, Minor stroke during hospitalization (No.) (%)	3 (3.6)	5 (1.1)	.111	3 (3.6)	3 (1.8)	.404	
B, Major stroke during hospitalization (No.) (%)	1 (1.2)	6 (1.3)	1.000	1 (1.2)	4 (2.4)	.668	
Hyperperfusion syndrome during hospitalization (No.) (%)	0	2 (0.4)	1.000	0	0		
Myocardial infarction during hospitalization (No.) (%)	1 (1.2)	0	.154	1 (1.2)	0	.333	
Death during hospitalization (No.) (%)	2 (2.4)	2 (0.4)	.115	2 (2.4)	0	.110	
C, Minor stroke in 30-day hospitalization (No.) (%)	0	4 (0.9)	1.000	0	2 (1.2)	.554	
D, Major stroke in 30-day hospitalization (No.) (%)	1 (1.2)	2 (0.4)	.396	1 (1.2)	1 (0.6)	1.000	
E, Death in 30 days (including during hospitalization) (No.) (%)	3 (3.6)	3 (0.7)	.050	3 (3.6)	1 (0.6)	.109	
Primary end point: $A + B + C + D$ + E (No.) (%)	7 (8.3)	19 (4.1)	.101	7 (8.3)	11 (6.6)	.611	
Re-intervention for restenosis during follow-up (No.) (%)	9 (10.7)	19 (4.1)	.026	9 (10.7)	6 (3.6)	.044	
All-cause mortality during follow- up (No.) (%)	33 (39.3)	202 (43.9)	.473	33 (39.3)	76 (45.2)	.419	
Cardiovascular death (No.) (%)	25 (29.8)	129 (28.0)	.792	25 (29.8)	53 (31.6)	.885	
Noncardiovascular death (No.) (%)	6 (7.1)	64 (13.9)	.110	6 (7.1)	19 (11.3)	.374	
Unknown death (No.) (%)	2 (2.4)	9 (2.0)	.682	2 (2.4)	4 (2.4)	1.000	
Mortality per 100 patient-years	6.6	6.8		6.6	6.5		

Note:—TIA indicates transient ischemic attack.

point occurred in 4 (10.5%) patients in the near-occlusion group and 7 (9%) patients in the control group (P = .748). In the asymptomatic patients, the primary end point occurred in 3 (6.5%) patients in the near-occlusion group and 4 (4.4%) patients in the control group (P = .688). The results for the symptomatic and asymptomatic patients are summarized in the Online Supplemental Data.

In the unmatched cohort, the mean follow-up was 5.9 (SD, 4.1) years in the near-occlusion group and 6.5 (SD, 4.1) years in the control group, which yielded 499 and 2980 patient-years of follow-up. Thirty-three (39.3%) patients in the near-occlusion group and 202 (43.9%) patients in the control group died (P = .473), which translated into 6.6 and 6.8 deaths per 100 patient-years, respectively. In the matched cohort, the mean follow-up was 5.9 (SD, 4.1) years in the near-occlusion group and 7.0 (SD, 4.2) years in the control group, which yielded 499 and 1176 patient-years of follow-up. In the matched cohort, 33 (39.3%) patients in the nearocclusion group and 76 (45.2%) patients in the control group died (P = .419), which translated into 6.6 and 6.5 deaths per 100 patient-years, respectively. In the matched cohort, survival in the near-occlusion group versus the control group at 5 and 10 years was 69.8% (95% CI, 58.0%-78.8%) versus 77.3% (95% CI, 70.0%-83.1%) and 53.3% (95% CI, 39.9%-65.0%) versus 53.3% (95% CI, 44.5%–61.4%) (log-rank, P = .798) (Fig 2A). In the unmatched cohort, survival at 5 and 10 years was similar (log-rank, P = .996) (Fig 2B).

During the follow-up, 9 (10.7%) patients and 19 (4.1%) patients underwent re-intervention for in-stent restenosis in the nearocclusion and control groups, respectively (P = .026) (Fig 3*A*). After matching, the difference was still statistically significant, 10.7% versus 3.6% (P = .044) (Fig 3*B*).

DISCUSSION

Results of our observational study suggest that patients with nearocclusion had a high incidence of stroke or death within 30 days after CAS (8.3%) and high annual mortality (6.7%). However, the risk was not significantly different from that of severe stenosis after the adjustment using the propensity score matching. Although we observed a trend toward higher periprocedural risk in the near-occlusion group, which was numerically 2-fold, it did not reach statistical significance. The difference in the primary end points was even smaller after the adjustment. We believe that this difference is due to the higher proportion of symptomatic patients in the near-occlusion group. However, it could be related to the number of patients in the registry and confounders. The NASCET and European Carotid Surgery Trial included 262 cases of nearocclusion.^{3,7} The risk of perioperative stroke and death was similar in near-occlusion (5.4%) and 70%-99% stenosis (6.2%),19 and lower periprocedural risks have been reported since then.¹¹ Later, trials comparing endarterectomy and CAS either excluded patients with near-occlusion or did not assess near-occlusion. The real-world registry might provide valuable information about the early risk of CAS for near-occlusion in a population of patients with high cardiovascular comorbidity that is not typically represented in randomized trials. Given the differences in the population



FIG 2. Kaplan-Meier estimate of 10-year survival after carotid stent placement in the near-occlusion-versus-control groups in the matched (A) and unmatched (B) cohorts.



FIG 3. Kaplan-Meier estimate of freedom from re-intervention for in-stent restenosis after carotid stent placement in the near-occlusion-versus-control groups in the unmatched (A) and matched (B) cohorts.

of patients with near-occlusion and conventional severe stenosis and a most notably higher incidence of symptomatic stenosis in the near-occlusion group, we decided to compare the groups with the propensity score matching analysis to balance the baseline characteristics in both groups and lower the risk of selection bias.

The high periprocedural risk of stroke in ICA near-occlusion might be explained by the slow flow of blood distal to the lesion, which promotes in situ thrombosis that is embolized during CAS or protrudes through the stent struts and embolizes in the early postprocedural period. Angiography might not be able to distinguish ruptured atherosclerotic plaque with mural thrombus or mural thrombus in the distal ICA. Some cases of near-occlusion are actually recanalized thrombotic occlusions. Indeed, Hirata et al²⁰ reported that those old, organized thrombi were more frequently found in the endarterectomy specimens from near-occlusions than in high-grade stenoses. In our study, the 30-day stroke or death rate in the near-occlusion group (8.3%) was higher than that in a recent meta-analysis of some 703 patients with near-occlusion (226)

underwent CAS; the 30-day stroke or death rate was 2.2%).¹¹ Individual observational studies included in the meta-analysis reported a 30-day stroke or death rate after CAS between 0% and 7%.²¹⁻²⁵ These studies had only 1 arm and did not compare the risk of CAS for near-occlusion with that in a control group. The high variability in the reported periprocedural risks might reflect different baseline characteristics and selection of patients rather than procedural techniques. It is also possible that the periprocedural stroke and death risk reported in the meta-analysis by Meershoek et al¹¹ underestimated the true risk because of bias in the reporting of small observational studies with unfavorable results.

The high all-cause mortality rate in our study is in contrast to the much lower mortality in the long-term follow-up of a recent, large, randomized Second Asymptomatic Carotid Surgery Trial (ACST-2: 330 deaths in 1811 patients randomized to CAS with a mean follow-up of 5 years and an annual mortality of $\approx 3.6\%$).²⁶ On the other hand, a study that analyzed data from Medicare beneficiaries treated with CAS reported a mortality rate of 8.0% per year after the periprocedural period.²⁷ This study included older patients than in our registry, but other baseline characteristics were similar, with high cardiovascular comorbidity. These differences indicate that clinical trials enroll populations different from high-risk patients in whom the investigated technique is used in clinical practice.

We should carefully consider performing CAS in a patient with ICA near-occlusion, given the substantial periprocedural risk and life expectancy that might be shorter than 5 years reported by the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS).²⁸ Meershoek et al²⁹ suggested that although the initial approach to symptomatic near-occlusion with full distal luminal collapse should be conservative, patients with recurrent events may be treated with endarterectomy. There is a need for further studies that could be based on large registries like the mandatory German Carotid National Registry.³⁰ We believe that the treatment of patients with ICA near-occlusion is one of the important topics in the field of carotid interventions that will require continued research before more evidence-based recommendations can be made.

The study is not without limitations. First, data were collected from a single center for 16 years. Although operators and procedural techniques remained unchanged, protection devices and stents changed across time. Referral of patients for carotid angiography and the criteria for selection of patients who would benefit from CAS changed during that time, with updated ESC/ESVS guidelines and results of randomized controlled trials.^{26,28} The proportion of patients with near-occlusion and conventional stenosis who were selected for CAS compared with endarterectomy or medical therapy is unknown. Our results cannot be generalized to all patients with ICA near-occlusion. Second, propensity score matching is associated with inherent limitations. Although the matched cohort might seem well-balanced for baseline characteristics, there is always a risk of confounding bias. Third, we did not systematically collect data on major adverse cardiovascular events beyond the 30-day period after CAS; therefore, we reported only data on long-term survival.

CONCLUSIONS

Carotid stent placement in patients with ICA near-occlusion was not associated with an increased 30-day risk of stroke or death compared with severe stenosis. Survival up to 10 years after CAS was similar in both groups.

Disclosure forms provided by the authors are available with the full text and PDF of this article at www.ajnr.org.

REFERENCES

- 1. Fox AJ, Eliasziw M, Rothwell PM, et al. Identification, prognosis, and management of patients with carotid artery near occlusion. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2005;26:2086–94 Medline
- Johansson E, Fox AJ. Carotid near-occlusion: a comprehensive review, Part 1: definition, terminology, and diagnosis. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2016;37:2–10 CrossRef Medline
- 3. Morgenstern LB, Fox AJ, Sharpe BL, et al. The risks and benefits of carotid endarterectomy in patients with near occlusion of the carotid

artery: North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial (NASCET) group. *Neurology* 1997;48:911–15 CrossRef Medline

- Bartlett ES, Walters TD, Symons SP, et al. Quantification of carotid stenosis on CT angiography. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2006;27:13–19 Medline
- Ringelstein EB, Berg-Dammer E, Zeumer H. The so-called atheromatous pseudo-occlusion of the internal carotid artery: a diagnostic and therapeutical challenge. *Neuroradiology* 1983;25:147–55 CrossRef Medline
- O'Leary DH, Mattle H, Potter JE. Atheromatous pseudo-occlusion of the internal carotid artery. *Stroke* 1989;20:1168–73 CrossRef Medline
- Rothwell PM, Gutnikov SA, Warlow CP. Reanalysis of the final results of the European Carotid Surgery Trial. Stroke 2003;34:514–23 CrossRef Medline
- Bonati LH, Kakkos S, Berkefeld J, et al. European Stroke Organisation guideline on endarterectomy and stenting for carotid artery stenosis. *Eur Stroke J* 2021;6:I–XLVII CrossRef Medline
- Kleindorfer DO, Towfighi A, Chaturvedi S, et al. 2021 Guideline for the Prevention of Stroke in Patients with Stroke and Transient Ischemic Attack: a Guideline From the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association. *Stroke* 2021;52:e364–467 CrossRef Medline
- 10. Johansson E, Fox AJ. Carotid near-occlusion: a comprehensive review, Part 2: prognosis and treatment, pathophysiology, confusions, and areas for improvement. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2016;37:200–04 CrossRef Medline
- Meershoek AJ, de Vries EE, Veen D, et al; NEON study group. Metaanalysis of the outcomes of treatment of internal carotid artery near occlusion. Br J Surg 2019;106:665–71 CrossRef Medline
- Veselka J, Hajek P, Štěchovský C, et al. Long-term survival of carotid stenting patients with regard to single- or double-vessel carotid artery disease: a propensity score matching analysis. Arch Med Sci 2021;17:849–55 CrossRef Medline
- Štěchovský C, Hájek P, Horváth M, et al. Near-infrared spectroscopy combined with intravascular ultrasound in carotid arteries. Int J Cardiovasc Imaging 2016;32:181–88 CrossRef Medline
- Štěchovský C, Hájek P, Horváth M, et al. Effect of stenting on the near-infrared spectroscopy-derived lipid core burden index of carotid artery plaque. *Eurointervention* 2019;15:e289–96 CrossRef Medline
- 15. Veselka J, Špacõek M, Horváth M, et al. Impact of coexisting multivessel coronary artery disease on short-term outcomes and longterm survival of patients treated with carotid stenting. Arch Med Sci 2016;12:760–65 CrossRef Medline
- 16. Veselka J, Cerná D, Zimolová P, et al. Feasibility, safety, and early outcomes of direct carotid artery stent implantation with use of the FilterWire EZ Embolic Protection System. Catheter Cardiovasc Interv 2009;73:733–38 CrossRef Medline
- Rosenbaum PR, Rubin DB. Constructing a control group using multivariate matched sampling methods that incorporate the propensity score. *The American Statistican* 1985;39:33–38 CrossRef
- Fraeman KH. An introduction to implementing propensity score matching with SAS. NESUG 2010: Applications Development. https:// www.lexjansen.com/nesug/nesug10/ad/ad05.pdf. Accessed September 07, 2021
- Rothwell PM, Eliasziw M, Gutnikov SA, et al. Analysis of pooled data from the randomised controlled trials of endarterectomy for symptomatic carotid stenosis. *Lancet* 2003;361:107–16 CrossRef Medline
- Hirata Y, Sakata N, Inoue T, et al. Histopathological features with angiographic correlates of internal carotid artery pseudo-occlusion: impact of plaque compositions—clinical article. J Neurosurg 2011;115:350–58 CrossRef Medline
- Oka F, Ishihara H, Kato S, et al. Cerebral hemodynamic benefits after carotid artery stenting in patients with near occlusion. J Vasc Surg 2013;58:1512–17 CrossRef Medline
- 22. González A, Gil-Peralta A, Mayol A, et al. Internal carotid artery stenting in patients with near occlusion: 30-day and long-term outcome. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2011;32:252–58 CrossRef Medline

- Matsuda Y, Terada T, Okada H, et al. Angiographic characteristics of pseudo-occlusion of the internal carotid artery before and after stenting. *Neurosurgery* 2016;79:832–38 CrossRef Medline
- 24. Son S, Choi DS, Kim SK, et al. Carotid artery stenting in patients with near occlusion: a single-center experience and comparison with recent studies. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg* 2013;115:1976–81 CrossRef Medline
- 25. Sakamoto S, Kiura Y, Kajihara Y, et al. Carotid artery stenting using the proximal or dual protection method for near occlusion of the cervical internal carotid artery. *Neurosurg Rev* 2013;36:551–58 CrossRef Medline
- 26. Halliday A, Bulbulia R, Bonati LH, et al; ACST-2 Collaborative Group. Second asymptomatic carotid surgery trial (ACST-2): a randomised comparison of carotid artery stenting versus carotid endarterectomy. *Lancet* 2021;398:1065–73 CrossRef Medline
- Jalbert JJ, Nguyen LL, Gerhard-Herman MD, et al. Outcomes after carotid artery stenting in Medicare beneficiaries, 2005 to 2009. JAMA Neurol 2015;72:276–86 CrossRef Medline
- 28. Aboyans V, Ricco JB, Bartelink MEL, ESC Scientific Document Group, et al. 2017 ESC Guidelines on the Diagnosis and Treatment of Peripheral Arterial Diseases, in collaboration with the European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS): document covering atherosclerotic disease of extracranial carotid and vertebral, mesenteric, renal, upper and lower extremity arteries endorsed by: the European Stroke Organization (ESO), the Task Force for the Diagnosis and Treatment of Peripheral Arterial Diseases of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and of the European Society for Vascular Surgery (ESVS). Eur Heart J 2018;39:763–816 CrossRef Medline
- 29. Meershoek AJ, Vonken EP, Nederkoorn PJ, et al. Carotid endarterectomy in patients with recurrent symptoms associated with an ipsilateral carotid artery near occlusion with full collapse. J Neurol 2018;265:1900–05 CrossRef Medline
- 30. Kallmayer MA, Tsantilas P, Knappich C, et al. Patient characteristics and outcomes of carotid endarterectomy and carotid artery stenting: analysis of the German mandatory national quality assurance registry - 2003 to 2014. J Cardiovasc Surg (Torino) 2015;56:827–36 Medline