

Discover Generics

Cost-Effective CT & MRI Contrast Agents





Methodologic Issues on Interrater Reliability Regarding Structural and DTI-Based Corticospinal Tract Asymmetry

F. Jalalvandi and M. Naderi

This information is current as of June 26, 2025.

AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2018, 39 (11) E123 doi: https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A5799 http://www.ajnr.org/content/39/11/E123

Methodologic Issues on Interrater Reliability Regarding Structural and DTI-Based Corticospinal Tract Asymmetry

e read, with interest, the article by Foesleitner et al¹ published in the June 2018 issue of the American Journal of Neuroradiology. The purpose was to investigate a clinically feasible imaging approach to assess corticospinal tract (CST) asymmetry in unilateral polymicrogyria (PMG), check diffusion-based tractography as a guide to the presumed motor area within the dysplastic cortex, and investigate whether the "rule" of CST asymmetry as a good prognostic factor for postsurgical motor function preservation specifically applies to cases of unilateral PMG involving the central region. The interrater reliability was calculated by the Cohen coefficient.¹ The authors reported that the interrater reliability in the assessment of corticospinal tract asymmetry was most robust at the level of the cerebral crus. Also, excellent congruence was reached by categorizing the asymmetry degree into no or minimal asymmetry or moderate/severe asymmetry ($\kappa =$ 1.0) in the event that the other levels of assessment did not result in considerable agreement ($\kappa = 0.21-0.6$).¹

It is of crucial importance to know that the κ value cannot be a sign of good agreement. In assessing the agreement of a qualitative variable, the κ value has 2 major weaknesses: 1) It depends on the prevalence in each class—that is, there might be different κ values of the same percentages for concordant and discordant cells. As can be seen in the Table, the prevalence of concordant cells in both (a) and (b) situations is 90%, while that of discordant cells is 10%. However, we can get different values of κ (0.44 and 0.80) for concordant and discordant cells, respectively. 2) The κ value also depends on the number of classes. It is preferable to use a weighted κ in such situations to obtain unbiased results.²⁻⁴

They concluded that visual assessment of structural and diffusion tensor images of the corticospinal tract (especially at the cerebral crus) is a reliable and clinically feasible imaging approach in the preoperative work-up of patients with unilateral PMG affecting the central region. Also, in noncompliant patients, DTI-based

http://dx.doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A5799

Limitation of κ to assess the reliability of 2 raters' judgments with different prevalences in the 2 categories

			Rater 1	Total
		Positive	Negative	(%)
Situation (a)	Positive	85	5	90
Rater 2	Negative	5	5	10
$\kappa = 0.44$	Total	90	10	100
Situation (b)	Positive	45	5	50
Rater 2	Negative	5	45	50
$\kappa = 0.80$	Total	50	50	100

tractography is a useful alternative to task-based fMRI and helps in the anatomic localization of the primary motor cortex. If one considered the above-mentioned limitations of the κ value to assess reliability, such a conclusion may be misleading. Therefore, misinterpretation cannot be avoided.²⁻⁴

In this letter, we discuss the limitations of the κ value to assess reliability. Therefore, any conclusion especially in reliability analysis should be supported by the above mentioned statistical and methodological issues.

REFERENCES

- Foesleitner O, Nenning KH, Traub-Weidinger T, et al. Assessing corticospinal tract asymmetry in unilateral polymicrogyria. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2018;39:1530–35 CrossRef Medline
- Szklo M, Nieto FJ. *Epidemiology: Beyond the Basics*. 2nd ed. Sudbury: Jones and Bartlett Publisher; 2007
- Naderi M, Jalalvandi F, Fatahi S. Observer reproducibility of breast strain elastography in data acquisition and interpretation: a methodological issue. *Eur J Radiol* 2018 May 2. [Epub ahead of print] CrossRef Medline
- Sabour S, Dastjerdi EV. Reliability of four different computerized cephalometric analysis programs: a methodological error. Eur J Orthod 2013;35:848 CrossRef Medline

©F. Jalalvandi ©M. Naderi Department of Operating Room School of Paramedical Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences Kermanshah. I.R. Iran