

# **Discover Generics**

Cost-Effective CT & MRI Contrast Agents





This information is current as of June 17, 2025.

Apparent Diffusion Coefficient Histograms of Human Papillomavirus –Positive and Human Papillomavirus –Negative Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma: Assessment of Tumor Heterogeneity and Comparison with Histopathology

T. de Perrot, V. Lenoir, M. Domingo Ayllón, N. Dulguerov, M. Pusztaszeri and M. Becker

*AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2017, 38 (11) 2153-2160 doi: https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A5370 http://www.ajnr.org/content/38/11/2153

## Apparent Diffusion Coefficient Histograms of Human Papillomavirus–Positive and Human Papillomavirus–Negative Head and Neck Squamous Cell Carcinoma: Assessment of Tumor Heterogeneity and Comparison with Histopathology

<sup>ID</sup>T. de Perrot, <sup>ID</sup>V. Lenoir, <sup>ID</sup>M. Domingo Ayllón, <sup>ID</sup>N. Dulguerov, <sup>ID</sup>M. Pusztaszeri, and <sup>ID</sup>M. Becker

## ABSTRACT

**BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE:** Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma associated with human papillomavirus infection represents a distinct tumor entity. We hypothesized that diffusion phenotypes based on the histogram analysis of ADC values reflect distinct degrees of tumor heterogeneity in human papillomavirus–positive and human papillomavirus–negative head and neck squamous cell carcinomas.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS:** One hundred five consecutive patients (mean age, 64 years; range, 45–87 years) with primary oropharyngeal (n = 52) and oral cavity (n = 53) head and neck squamous cell carcinoma underwent MR imaging with anatomic and diffusion-weighted sequences (b = 0, b = 1000 s/mm<sup>2</sup>, monoexponential ADC calculation). The collected tumor voxels from the contoured ROIs provided histograms from which position, dispersion, and form parameters were computed. Histogram data were correlated with histopathology, p16-immunohistochemistry, and polymerase chain reaction for human papillomavirus DNA.

**RESULTS:** There were 21 human papillomavirus–positive and 84 human papillomavirus–negative head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. At histopathology, human papillomavirus–positive cancers were more often nonkeratinizing (13/21, 62%) than human papillomavirus–negative cancers (19/84, 23%; P = .001), and their mitotic index was higher (71% versus 49%; P = .005). ROI-based mean and median ADCs were significantly lower in human papillomavirus–positive (1014 ± 178 × 10<sup>-6</sup> mm<sup>2</sup>/s and 970 ± 187 × 10<sup>-6</sup> mm<sup>2</sup>/s, respectively) than in human papillomavirus–negative tumors (1184 ± 168 × 10<sup>-6</sup> mm<sup>2</sup>/s and 1161 ± 175 × 10<sup>-6</sup> mm<sup>2</sup>/s, respectively; P < .001), whereas excess kurtosis and skewness were significantly higher in human papillomavirus–positive (1.934 ± 1.386 and 0.923 ± 0.510, respectively) than in human papillomavirus–negative tumors (0.643 ± 0.982 and 0.399 ± 0.516, respectively; P < .001). Human papillomavirus–negative head and neck squamous cell carcinoma had symmetric normally distributed ADC histograms, which corresponded histologically to heterogeneous tumors with variable cellularity, high stromal component, keratin pearls, and necrosis. Human papillomavirus–positive head and neck squamous cell carcinomas had leptokurtic skewed right histograms, which corresponded to homogeneous tumors with back-to-back densely packed cells, scant stromal component, and scattered comedonecrosis.

**CONCLUSIONS:** Diffusion phenotypes of human papillomavirus–positive and human papillomavirus–negative head and neck squamous cell carcinomas show significant differences, which reflect their distinct degree of tumor heterogeneity.

**ABBREVIATIONS:** HNSCC = head and neck squamous cell carcinoma; HPV = human papillomavirus; HPV - = human papillomavirus-negative; HPV + = human papillomavirus-positive

nfection with certain types of human papillomavirus (HPV), particularly HPV16 and HPV18, is a well-established cause for the development of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) arising in the oropharynx and, to a lesser extent, also in

Received March 31, 2017; accepted after revision July 7.

other head and neck sites. During the infection process, the viral DNA is incorporated in the cell DNA and induces genetic modifications responsible for carcinogenesis.<sup>1</sup> The HPV oncoprotein E7 binds to and degrades the retinoblastoma protein, which leads to the accumulation and overexpression of p16 protein.<sup>2</sup> P16 immunohistochemistry appears to be the best stand-alone test for HPV testing of HNSCC because it is widely available, very sensitive (up to 100%), and specific for the presence of transcription-

From the Division of Radiology, Department of Imaging and Medical Informatics (T.d.P., V.L., M.D.A., M.B.), Division of Head and Neck Surgery, Department of Clinical Neurosciences (N.D.), and Division of Clinical Pathology, Department of Genetic and Laboratory Medicine (M.P.), Geneva University Hospitals, Geneva, Switzerland.

Please address correspondence to Minerva Becker, MD, Division of Radiology, Department of Imaging and Medical Informatics, Geneva University Hospitals, Rue Gabrielle-Perret-Gentil 4, 1211 Geneva 14, Switzerland; e-mail: Minerva.Becker@ hcuge.ch

Om Indicates open access to non-subscribers at www.ajnr.org

Indicates article with supplemental on-line tables.

Indicates article with supplemental on-line photo.

http://dx.doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A5370

ally active HPV. Polymerase chain reaction is also very sensitive; however, its specificity is limited because the mere presence of HPV DNA does not convey whether the virus is transcriptionally active or simply a bystander.<sup>2,3</sup> In the oropharynx, HPV- and/or p16-positive (HPV+) HNSCC has a better prognosis than HPV- and/or p16-negative (HPV-) HNSCC,<sup>4</sup> whereas in the oral cavity and in other head and neck sites, reported results are contradictory.<sup>4-6</sup>

Morphologic imaging techniques do not allow distinction between HPV+ and HPV- cancers. Some authors found that HPV+ HNSCCs tend to have cystic nodal metastases and extracapsular spread, whereas others reported that morphologic criteria (size, T stage, and cystic nodal disease) and sociodemographic factors were not reliable predictors of HPV status.<sup>7,8</sup>

DWI is increasingly used for the pretherapeutic work-up and monitoring of treatment response in HNSCC. On DWI, HNSCC displays restricted diffusivity because of increased cellularity, and mean ADC values are in the range of  $900-1300 \times 10^{-6}$ mm<sup>2</sup>/s.<sup>9-12</sup> These reported ADC values are based on a monoexponential fitting model with 2 b-values (b = 0 and b =800-1000 s/mm<sup>2</sup>).<sup>9-11,13</sup> Mean ADC values are often calculated based on a single freehand ROI drawn over the largest axial tumor area,<sup>14</sup> or alternatively, they are based on elliptical ROIs drawn over the "most cellular" tumor regions,<sup>11</sup> the choice of these cellular regions being made visually. In contrast to simple ROI techniques, pixel-based calculations of ADC maps have the advantage of providing a detailed view of the entire area of interest in the form of parametric maps and histograms offering the possibility to assess tumor heterogeneity in a noninvasive fashion.<sup>15</sup> The assessment of tumor heterogeneity plays a major role in cancer research because it influences treatment outcome and has an impact on the development of targeted therapies. Some authors have reported the added value of ADC histograms as predictors of treatment response, whereas others have noted an association between low pretreatment mean ADC and favorable outcome after radiochemotherapy.<sup>13,16,17</sup> Because the HPV status was not included in the analysis, it is not known whether ADC characteristics associated with improved outcome could actually be attributed to the HPV status.

HPV+ and HPV- HNSCCs are distinct tumors with different molecular pathogenesis. At histopathology, they diverge in terms of microstructural heterogeneity.<sup>18,19</sup> We hypothesized that diffusion phenotypes based on a histogram analysis of ADC values reflect different degrees of tumor heterogeneity in HPV+ and HPV- HNSCCs. The purpose of this study was to analyze the relationship between ADC histogram parameters and histopathologic features of HPV+ and HPV- HNSCCs and to provide an understanding of the histologic underpinning of this quantitative tool.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### **Patient Selection and Inclusion Criteria**

This retrospective study was approved by the Institutional Ethics and Research Committee of the University Hospitals Geneva and was performed in accordance with the guidelines of the Helsinki II declaration. Informed consent was waived. Our institution is a tertiary referral center for head and neck oncology and has a weekly multidisciplinary head and neck oncology tumor board.

The inclusion criteria for the current study were as follows: 1) adult patients seen at the multidisciplinary head and neck tumor board, 2) primary HNSCC of the oropharynx and oral cavity, 3) MR imaging examinations (standard of care for HNSCC in our institution) obtained with morphologic sequences and singleshot spin-echo echo-planar DWI with b = 0 and b = 1000 s/mm<sup>2</sup>, 4) unequivocal categorization of the HPV status, and 5) histopathology. We included both the oropharynx and the oral cavity in this series to avoid selection bias because though some tumors were classified as originating from the oral cavity, they showed involvement of both subsites and/or originated at the anatomic limit between the 2 subsites. Moreover, recent data suggest that ectopic tonsillar tissue may actually be the origin of HPV+ HN-SCC in nonoropharyngeal sites (eg, the floor of the mouth).<sup>18,19</sup> In addition, because HPV+ HNSCC tends to arise from the depths of tonsillar crypts and then spread submucosally, emerging at a distant site, the tumors can be misclassified as primary nonoropharyngeal HPV+ HNSCC though they are oropharyngeal in origin.<sup>18,19</sup> A computerized search of the medical records of the multidisciplinary head and neck tumor board over a period of 3 years yielded a total of 112 consecutive patients meeting the inclusion criteria. Three patients had to be excluded because of poor quality DWI caused by severe motion artifacts and metal implants. Four further patients were excluded because the lesions were not seen on diffusion-weighted MRI (2 in situ lesions, 2 T1 lesions according to the Union for International Cancer Control TNM classification). Therefore, a total of 105 consecutive patients formed the basis of the current study.

## **MR Imaging Protocol**

The MR imaging examinations were performed on 1.5T (n = 45) and 3T (n = 60) MR imaging scanners provided by 2 different manufacturers. There was no statistically significant difference between the number of patients examined at 1.5T and at 3T (P =.22). For both field strengths, our imaging protocols included axial T2, coronal STIR, axial T1 before and after intravenous injection of a gadolinium-based contrast agent, and fat-saturated contrast-enhanced T1 in the axial and coronal plane. A singleshot spin-echo echo-planar DWI sequence was performed in all patients before intravenous contrast material with 2 b-values (b = 0 and  $b = 1000 \text{ s/mm}^2$ ) and with similar parameters at 1.5T (TR, 7300 ms; TE, 75 ms) and 3T (TR, 7500 ms; TE, 75 ms), respectively. Fat suppression was used for all DWI sequences (spectral fat saturation or STIR with TI, 170-180 ms at 1.5T and 230 ms at 3T). Depending on patient morphology, the FOV ranged from 220-260 mm with corresponding acquisition matrices of 77-192 voxels in height and 112-208 voxels in width. The section thickness was 3-4 mm in 100 cases and 5 mm in 5 patients. All ADC maps were calculated by monoexponential fitting.9-13

### Image Analysis, Data Processing, and Criteria for the Interpretation of Histograms

Two radiologists evaluated the MR imaging examinations independently. They were blinded to clinical data, histopathologic results, and HPV status. OsiriX MD version 3 (http:// www.osirixviewer.com) was used for image interpretation and measures. The readers were experienced radiologists with >8 years of expertise in oncologic head and neck MR imaging. Each tumor was identified by using morphologic MR imaging and DWI. The diagnosis of HNSCC was made according to established criteria (mass lesion with high signal on B1000 and low signal on a gray-scale ADC map).<sup>10,11</sup> After scrolling through all the images, the 2 largest consecutive cross-sectional tumor areas were selected and contoured manually on axial B1000 and corresponding ADC maps by using freehand ROIs. Because DWI shows spatially nonlinear distortions, we did not contour tumor ROIs on morphologic MR imaging, but directly on DWI to avoid including nontumoral pixels in the analysis. Then, the freehand ROIs were saved individually. Although freehand ROIs of the entire tumor may be more representative of tumor heterogeneity, we deliberately contoured only the 2 largest axial tumor areas because we wanted to be certain about tumor margins. In our experience, the identification of tumor margins on the most cranial and most caudal sections may be fraught with errors (partial volume effect with nontumoral tissues and nonlinear image distortions), especially in smaller lesions. Because we were interested in tumor heterogeneity, we contoured the entire cross-sectional tumor area without excluding necrotic portions. The voxels within the contoured tumor areas were exported in an .xml file by using the OsiriX plugin "Export ROIs," and they were retrieved by the statistical software R (http://www.r-project.org/).<sup>20</sup>

For each set of voxels belonging to a tumor, the software calculated a histogram. For each tumor, the following histogramderived ADC parameters were obtained: mean and median values (relevant for the histogram center); minimum; maximum; 25% quartile; 75% quartile; interquartile range (value spread around the center); skewness and kurtosis (histogram symmetry and peakedness). The interpretation of histogram parameters was done in accordance with the literature.<sup>15</sup> Kurtosis in a normal distribution is 3. Excess kurtosis (raw kurtosis minus 3) is 0 for a normal distribution. Positive numbers represent "narrow" leptokurtic histograms, and negative numbers correspond to "wide" platykurtic histograms. Skewness associated with a normal and symmetric distribution is 0. Positive skewness represents a histogram with a right longer tail (skewed right), whereas negative skewness represents a histogram with a left longer tail (skewed left).<sup>15</sup> If skewness is <-1 or >+1, the distribution is highly skewed. If skewness is between -1 and -0.5 or between +0.5 and +1, the distribution is moderately skewed. If skewness is between -0.5 and +0.5, the distribution is approximately symmetric.

#### **Standard of Reference**

For each patient, the HPV status was determined based on the tissue samples obtained by endoscopic biopsy (n = 52) or surgical resection (n = 53). A 2-step procedure consisting of p16 immunohistochemistry and polymerase chain reaction was used to differentiate between HPV+ and HPV- HNSCC.<sup>21</sup> Tumors were considered p16+ in the presence of a strong nuclear and cytoplasmic staining of  $\geq$ 70% of the neoplastic cells.<sup>2</sup> In the presence of p16 overexpression, polymerase chain reaction for the detection of HPV DNA was carried out. Cases were categorized as HPV+ according to p16 immunohistochemistry and polymerase chain reaction results according to American Joint Committee on Cancer 2017 guidelines.<sup>3</sup> A degree of histologic differentiation was not

#### Table 1: Patient<sup>a</sup> and tumor characteristics

Table I: Patient and tumor char	acteristics					
Characteristics	HPV- HNSCC (n = 84)	HPV+ HNSCC (n = 21)	<i>P</i> Value <sup>b</sup>			
Average age (range), yr	65 (45–87)	61 (45–73)	.15°			
Sex, no. (%)						
Women	23 (27)	7 (33)				
Men	61 (73)	14 (67)	.79 <sup>d</sup>			
Tumor location, no. (%)						
Oral cavity	50 (60)	3 (14)				
Oropharynx	34 (40)	18 (86)	<.001 <sup>d</sup>			
T classification according to AJCC 2017, no. (%) <sup>f</sup>						
TI	5 (6)	1 (5)				
T2	22 (26)	6 (29)				
Т3	15 (18)	3 (14)				
Τ4	42 (50)	11 (52)	1 <sup>e</sup>			
N classification according to AJCC 2017, no. (%)						
N0	36 (43)	4 (19)				
NI	13 (15)	6 (29)				
N2	35 (42)	9 (43)				
N3	0 (0)	2 (9)	.012 <sup>e</sup>			
M classification according to AJCC 2017, no. (%)						
MO	77 (92)	18 (86)				
MI	1 (1)	1(5)				
Mx	6 (7)	2 (9)	.47 <sup>e</sup>			
Tumor keratinization, no. (%)	.,					
Present	65 (77)	8 (38)				
Absent	19 (23)	13 (62)	.001 <sup>d</sup>			
Degree of keratinization, no. (%)						
Keratinizing	48 (57)	3 (14)				
Focally keratinizing	17 (20)	5 (24)	$< .001^{e}$			
Nonkeratinizing, no. (%)	19 (23)	13 (62)				
Histologic differentiation, no. (%)						
Well differentiated	15 (18)	Not assessable <sup>f</sup>				
Moderately differentiated	48 (57)					
Poorly differentiated	21 (25)					
Semiquantitative histologic score, no. (%) <sup>g</sup>						
Score 1 (most heterogeneous)	14 (17)	0 (0)				
Score 2	8 (9)	0 (0)				
Score 3	41 (49)	7 (33)	.002 <sup>e</sup>			
Score 4	12 (14)	5 (24)				
Score 5 (most homogeneous)	9 (11)	9 (43)				
Mean proliferation index, MIB-1 (%) <sup>h</sup>	49	71	.005°			
Range in %	8–90	30–95				

**Note:**—AJCC indicates American Joint Committee on Cancer.

<sup>a</sup> Total patients, 105.

<sup>b</sup> P values are indicated for the comparisons between HPV+ and HPV- HNSCCs.

<sup>c</sup> Wilcoxon rank sum test

<sup>d</sup> Pearson  $\chi^2$  test.

<sup>e</sup> Fisher test.

<sup>f</sup> HPV+ HNSCC was not graded according to AJCC 2017 guidelines.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>g</sup> The semiquantitative histologic score is described in On-line Table 1.

<sup>h</sup> Available in 95 histologic samples.

assigned to HPV+ HNSCCs based on American Joint Committee on Cancer 2017 recommendations.<sup>3</sup> A semiquantitative histologic score (On-line Table 1) was used to facilitate correlation between tumor microarchitecture and ADC histograms. This semiquantitative score took the following features into consideration: shape and size of tumor cells and nuclei, quantitative and qualitative stromal characteristics (ie, desmoplasia, lymphocytic infiltration), intratumoral keratinization, mitotic index estimated by MIB-1 immunohistochemistry, and the size and distribution of necrosis.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed by using the R statistical environment version 3.0.2.<sup>20</sup> For all tests, the significance level

Table 2: Quantitative ADC parameters from histogram analysis of tumors in the oropharynx and oral cavity at 1.5T and 3T and for all tumors together irrespective of field strength (pooled data)

	1.5T ( <i>n</i> = 45)			3T ( <i>n</i> = 60)			Pooled Data ( <i>n</i> = 105)		
Histogram-Based ADC Parameters	HPV- ( <i>n</i> = 33) (mean ± SD)	HPV+ ( <i>n</i> = 12) (mean ± SD)	<i>P</i> Value <sup>a</sup>	HPV- ( <i>n</i> = 51) (mean ± SD)	HPV+ ( <i>n</i> = 9) (mean ± SD)	<i>P</i> Value <sup>a</sup>	HPV- ( <i>n</i> = 84) (mean ± SD)	HPV+ ( <i>n</i> = 21) (mean ± SD)	<i>P</i> Value <sup>a</sup>
Mean ADC ( $\times$ 10 <sup>-6</sup> mm <sup>2</sup> /s)	$1158 \pm 183$	$989\pm188$	.004	$1201 \pm 157$	$1046 \pm 167$	.02	$1184 \pm 168$	$1014 \pm 178$	<.001
Median ADC (×10 <sup>-6</sup> mm²/s)	$1135 \pm 193$	$936 \pm 198$	.003	1177 ± 162	$1016 \pm 170$	.03	$1161 \pm 175$	$970 \pm 187$	<.001
Minimum ADC ( $\times 10^{-6}$ mm <sup>2</sup> /s)	$422 \pm 251$	$379 \pm 172$	.36	$441 \pm 238$	$286 \pm 207$	.10	$433 \pm 242$	$339 \pm 188$	.09
25 Quartile ( $\times$ 10 <sup>-6</sup> mm <sup>2</sup> /s)	977 ± 162	$813 \pm 172$	.008	$1004 \pm 151$	$900 \pm 165$	.13	$993 \pm 155$	$850 \pm 171$	<.001
75 Quartile ( $ imes$ 10 <sup>-6</sup> mm <sup>2</sup> /s)	1318 ± 216	$1115 \pm 219$	.003	$1374 \pm 183$	$1163 \pm 180$	.005	$1352 \pm 197$	$1136 \pm 200$	<.001
Interquartile range $(\times 10^{-6} \text{ mm}^2/\text{s})$	341 ± 111	302 ± 79	.33	371 ± 114	$263\pm68$	.003	359 ± 113	$285\pm75$	.003
Skewness	$0.362\pm0.647$	$1.012 \pm 0.546$	.004	$0.424 \pm 0.416$	$0.804\pm0.461$	.02	0.399 ± 0.516	$0.923 \pm 0.510$	<.001
Excess kurtosis	$0.841\pm0.116$	$\textbf{1.728} \pm \textbf{1.250}$	.03	$0.514\pm0.872$	$2.207\pm1.583$	.001	$\textbf{0.643} \pm \textbf{0.982}$	$\textbf{1.934} \pm \textbf{1.386}$	<.001

<sup>a</sup> P values (Wilcoxon rank sum test) are indicated for the comparisons between HPV+ and HPV- HNSCCs.



**FIG 1.** Box plots for mean ADC, median ADC, excess kurtosis, and skewness from ROIs obtained in 105 tumors (84 HPV – HNSCC and 21 HPV + HNSCC). Horizontal lines indicate median values (*black lines*). The bottom and the top of the box are the first and third quartiles whereas whiskers indicate maximum and minimum values. Outliers are indicated by circles.

was fixed at .05. In the patient characteristics analysis, categoric variables were compared by using the  $\chi^2$  test with Yates continuity correction for data grouped in a 2 × 2 contingency matrix. If the expected frequency in a cell was lower than 5, the Fisher exact test was applied. For continuous variables (eg, patient age), a non-parametric 2-sided unpaired Wilcoxon rank sum test with continuity correction was used. Multivariate logistic regression analysis was obtained to correct for possible confounding factors in the correlation between HPV status and ADC. For quantitative parameters derived from tumor histograms, a comparison between HPV+ and HPV- HNSCCs was performed by using the Wilcoxon rank sum test mentioned above.

#### RESULTS

Patient and tumor characteristics are summarized in Table 1. The prevalence of HPV+ HNSCCs in the oropharynx (18/52, 35%) was significantly higher (P < .001) than in the oral cavity (3/53, 6%). Based on the standard of reference, HPV+ tumors were less often keratinized than HPV- tumors, and the tumor cells were more often basaloid (with limited cytoplasm) or undifferentiated. HPV+ HNSCCs showed a higher mitotic index, a higher proportion of histologic score 4/5, and a higher proportion of nodal metastases than HPV- cancers. These differences were statistically significant (Table 1).

Mean and median (95% CI) ROI size for ADC measurements were 7.7 cm<sup>2</sup>  $(range, 2.3-56 \text{ cm}^2)$  and  $5.72 \text{ cm}^2$   $(range, 2.3-56 \text{ cm}^2)$ 2.84-10.58 cm<sup>2</sup>), respectively. Comparison between HPV+ and HPV- HNSCC at both 1.5T and at 3T (Table 2) revealed significant differences between histogram parameters, in particular for mean and median ADC, skewness, and excess kurtosis (P < .05). Comparison of histogram parameters of HPV- HNSCCs at 1.5T versus 3T revealed no significant difference between the 2 field strengths (P >.05). Similarly, histogram parameters of HPV+ HNSCCs showed no significant difference between 1.5T and 3T, respectively (P > .05). The comparison between

HPV+ and HPV− HNSCC for the pooled data irrespective of field strength and subsite is summarized in Table 2 and Fig 1. Comparison between HPV+ HNSCC and HPV− HNSCC in the oropharynx revealed significant differences for all histogram parameters (P < .05), whereas in the oral cavity, only a trend was observed because of the small number of HPV+ cases. Comparison between HPV+HNSCC histograms in the oropharynx versus oral cavity revealed no significant differences (P > .05). Multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that the correlation between HPV status and mean ADC was independent of age, sex, tumor site, and T, N, and histologic parameters (On-line Table 2).

Figs 2-4 and the On-line Figure illustrate radiologic, histo-



**FIG 2.** A 59-year-old womam with HPV – HNSCC (T2N0M0). *A*, T2-weighted image reveals a left tonsillar tumor (*arrow*) with intermediate signal intensity. *B*, B1000 and *C*, gray-scale ADC map show restricted tumor diffusion (*arrows*). The freehand ROI contoured on the ADC map is visible as a *blue line*. *D*, On the color-coded ADC map (same level as *C*), tumor heterogeneity (*purple* and various shades of *blue-green* areas within the tumor) is more easily appreciated than in *C*. *E*, Histology (hematoxylin-eosin; original magnification, 200X) shows heterogeneous tumor matrix with areas of densely packed and loosely packed squamous cells of variable size. Variable amounts of keratin pearls (*asterisk*) and necrosis were present in this tumor. *F*, Immunohistochemistry for p16 (original magnification, 200X) is negative (ie, most cells show no brownish coloration).

gram, and histologic features of HPV+ and HPV- HNSCCs. Histopathology revealed that ADC histogram parameters reflected the respective microscopic tumor architecture, in particular, variable tumor heterogeneity. The observed symmetric Gaussian distribution in HPV- HNSCC histograms corresponded to heterogeneous tumors with variable cellularity, cell size and shape, keratin pearls, intratumoral necrosis, hemorrhage, and stromal cells (Figs 2 and 4 and the On-line Figure). The observed leptokurtic and skewed right histogram in HPV+ HNSCC corresponded histologically to homogeneous tumors with high cellularity, back-to-back homogeneous cell arrangement with little interstitial space, basaloid cells with scant cytoplasm, few to absent keratin pearls, and a higher mitotic index (Figs 3 and 4 and the On-line Figure). These histologic features of HPV+ cancers generated a high number of pixels with low ADCs and, therefore, higher kurtosis. The significantly higher positive (right) skewness in HPV+ cancers was caused by scattered comedonecrosis, which resulted in a small number of pixels with high ADCs (driving the mean upwards but not affecting the median of the histogram).

## DISCUSSION

Although tobacco and alcohol consumption are the most common risk factors for the development of HNSCC, HPV has been recognized as the main etiologic factor in a subgroup of patients. The incidence of HPV+ HNSCC and the proportion of HPV+ to HPV- HNSCCs depend on tumor localization, geographic and socioeconomic factors, smoking status, and sexual behavior. Most authors currently agree that HPV+ HNSCC represents a distinct tumor entity with a more favorable prognosis than alcohol- or tobacco-related HNSCC.

Recent publications have attempted to determine whether mean ADC values calculated by monoexponential fitting could be used to determine the HPV status in HNSCC.<sup>12,14,22</sup> In contrast to the intravoxel incoherent motion biexponential model, the monoexponential model does not differentiate between molecular movement caused by microperfusion and true diffusion caused by Brownian movement.<sup>23</sup> To obtain a robust fitting, biexponential models require the acquisition of 6-12 b-values, leading to increased examination time and a higher percentage of motion artifacts. Biexponential models are, therefore, not widely used in clinical practice. Publications evaluating the association between mean ADC values with monoexponential fitting and the HPV status in HNSCC have yielded contradictory results; although some authors suggested that mean ADCs at 1.5T are lower in HPV+ than in HPV- HNSCCs, others found no significant differences.<sup>12,14,22</sup> In these studies, the methodology of ROI contouring, the type of DWI sequence used, and the range and num-



**FIG 3.** A 60-year-old man with HPV+ HNSCC (T3N2M0). *A*, T2-weighted image reveals a left tonsillar tumor (*long arrow*) with intermediate signal intensity, parapharyngeal space invasion, and bilateral retropharyngeal lymph node metastases (*short arrows*). *B*, B1000 and *C*, gray-scale ADC map show restricted diffusion within the tonsillar tumor (*long arrows*) and within the lymph node metastases (*short arrows*). The freehand ROI contoured on the gray-scale ADC map is visible as a *blue line*. *D*, Color-coded ADC map (same level as *C*). Note that this tumor is less heterogeneous (mainly *blue* areas) than the tumor in Fig 1. *E*, Histology (hematoxylin-eosin; original magnification, 200×) shows homogeneous tumor consisting of clusters of densely packed, basaloid cells with scant cytoplasm, and without keratinization. Focal necrosis in the center of the tumor cell clusters (comedonecrosis) is present (*asterisk*). *F*, Immunohistochemistry for p16 is positive; more than 70% of tumor cells show strong cytoplasmic and nuclear staining indicating HPV positivity (original magnification, 200×). Polymerase chain reaction for HPV DNA further confirmed HPV positivity.

ber of b-values vary. Nakahira et al<sup>12</sup> contoured the largest axial cross-sectional tumor area on a single section without excluding necrotic portions, Driessen et al<sup>22</sup> contoured the entire tumor volume excluding necrotic portions, and Schouten et al<sup>14</sup> contoured the largest cross-sectional area on a single section excluding necrotic portions. In agreement with Nakahira et al<sup>12</sup> and Driessen et al,<sup>22</sup> we found that mean ADCs were significantly lower in HPV+ than in HPV- cancers. However, our data are in contradiction to results reported by Schouten et al,<sup>14</sup> who did not find a statistically significant difference between ADCs of both tumor types.<sup>14</sup> A possible explanation is the fact that Schouten et al<sup>14</sup> used a PROPELLER sequence, whereas the other authors, including us, used EPI-based DWI.

Imaging-based first-order histogram analysis of ADC and of dynamic contrast-enhanced MR imaging parameters can be used to measure organ and tumor heterogeneity in a noninvasive fashion.<sup>15</sup> Histogram analysis has been applied for the discrimination between histologic tumor types in renal cell cancers, brain tumors and HNSCC.<sup>15,16,24,25</sup> Histogram analysis of pretreatment ADCs measured at b = 2000 s/mm<sup>2</sup> was able to distinguish between well, moderately, and poorly differentiated HNSCCs, whereas analysis based on b = 1000 s/mm<sup>2</sup> was not able to do so.<sup>25</sup> King et al<sup>16</sup> used

2158 de Perrot Nov 2017 www.ajnr.org

ADC histogram analysis to evaluate the capability of DWI to predict treatment outcome after radiochemotherapy of advanced HNSCC, and Shukla-Dave et al<sup>26</sup> used histogram analysis of dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI parameters to predict outcome in HNSCC with nodal metastases.

As suggested by Just, kurtosis and skewness can be regarded as "quantitative surrogate markers of tumor heterogeneity." Kurtosis reflects distribution peakedness, a narrow distribution being linked to a low voxel value variation and, therefore, to a homogeneous tumor matrix. Skewness measures distribution asymmetry, the meaning of this metric being more difficult to interpret.<sup>15</sup> Data from the current study reveal that ADC histograms of HNSCC reflect the histologic microarchitecture unique to the HPV status. Histologically, in HPV+ tumors, cells are organized in homogeneous clusters with little interstitial space. This finding explains the lower mean and median ADC in HPV+ cancers because the reduced intercellular space restricts diffusion of water molecules. The homogeneous microstructure without major regional variability explains our observation that histograms of HPV+ HNSCCs are more slender (leptokurtic) than histograms with a classic Gaussian distribution (as seen in HPV- cancers). In other terms, higher kurtosis reflects higher tumor matrix homoHistogram



**FIG 4.** Characteristic histograms obtained in 2 different patients with HNSCC of the base of the tongue. Patient A (T4N2M0) has an HPV+HNSCC (gray histogram) and patient B (T4N2M0) has an HPV- cancer (blue histogram). Red lines correspond to the median value of each histogram. Note that the histogram in the HPV- cancer (blue histogram) is symmetric with widely distributed ADC values around the central line (Gaussian distribution), whereas the histogram in the HPV+ HNSCC (gray histogram) shows asymmetric distribution of ADC values with a right thicker tail (right skew) and an elongated aspect (leptokurtic shape) because a larger proportion of voxel values are located in a narrow band. The mean ADC in HPV+ HNSCC is farther away from the median ADC value (distribution skewed to the right) than in the HPV- histogram.

geneity. The significantly higher positive (right) skewness in HPV+ cancers is caused by a small amount of pixels with high ADCs and a large amount of pixels with low ADCs. This ADC distribution corresponds histologically to large tumor areas with densely packed cells and only minor amounts of scattered comedonecrosis. Kurtosis and skewness thus demonstrate that the ADC distribution in HPV+ cancers tends to be non-Gaussian, whereas HPV- cancers tend to have Gaussian histograms. This difference can be attributed to the higher heterogeneity of HPVcancers (more scores 1-3 in this series). Data of our study, therefore, support the hypothesis that the distinct histologic microarchitecture of HPV+ and HPV- HNSCCs can be elegantly demonstrated in a noninvasive fashion by ADC histogram analysis. Furthermore, the observed differences between histograms in HPV+ and HPV- cancers were independent of MR imaging field strength and manufacturer.

Commercially available software packages are already able to provide histogram analysis of ROIs contoured during routine image interpretation. ADC histograms could, therefore, be used as a noninvasive triage tool for HPV testing by identifying HPV+ HNSCC located in nonoropharyngeal sites, where p16/polymerase chain reaction testing is not obtained routinely. High kurtosis, positive skewness, and low mean ADC would warrant p16/polymerase chain reaction testing. In addition, ADC histograms could also serve as surrogate indicators of HPV+ HNSCC in cases with insufficient tissue remaining for p16/polymerase chain reaction testing and at the time of intraoperative frozen section evaluation. HPV+ HNSCC has been linked to a better outcome after radiochemotherapy. Some authors have shown that low mean ADC and/or histograms wherein >45% of voxels have ADCs <1.15 ×  $10^{-3}$  mm<sup>2</sup>/s indicate a favorable response to radiochemotherapy.<sup>13,16,17</sup> Although the HPV status was not analyzed in these studies, our data suggest that the reported predictive value of ADC histograms and lower mean ADC may in part be related to the HPV status. Therefore, histogram analysis used to monitor treatment response and target new therapeutic approaches should take into consideration the distinct diffusion phenotypes of HPV+ and HPV- HNSCC as revealed by this study.

The current study has limitations inherent to its retrospective study design. Limitations include section thickness variability and the small number of HPV+ HNSCC cases in the oral cavity. Further limitations concern freehand ROI contouring (as opposed to automatic segmentation) and ROI contouring of 2 tumor sections only (as opposed to contouring all tumor sections). Automatic tumor segmentation would in theory produce more robust lesion delineation and require less experienced readers.<sup>27</sup> Nevertheless, the method we used is similar to ROI-contouring methods published in other studies.<sup>27</sup>

#### CONCLUSIONS

Quantitative ADC histogram analysis reveals significant differences between HPV+ and HPV- HNSCCs irrespective of the MR imaging field strength used. The observed difference in ADC histograms reflects the distinct histopathologic features of these tumors, especially their different degree of microstructural heterogeneity. Because DWI is increasingly used to predict and monitor treatment outcome in HNSCC, diffusion phenotypes of HPV+ and HPV- tumors as demonstrated in this study should be considered in future research protocols.

#### REFERENCES

- Rampias T, Sasaki C, Psyrri A. Molecular mechanisms of HPV induced carcinogenesis in head and neck. Oral Oncol 2014;50:356–63 CrossRef Medline
- Bishop JA, Lewis JS Jr, Rocco JW, et al. HPV-related squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck: An update on testing in routine pathology practice. Semin Diagn Pathol 2015;32:344-51 CrossRef Medline
- Amin MB, Edge S, Greene F, et al. AJCC Cancer Staging Manual, 8th ed. New York: Springer-Verlag; 2017
- Salazar CR, Smith RV, Garg MK, et al. Human papillomavirus-associated head and neck squamous cell carcinoma survival: a comparison by tumor site and initial treatment. *Head Neck Pathol* 2014;8: 77–87 CrossRef Medline
- Hansson BG, Rosenquist K, Antonsson A, et al. Strong association between infection with human papillomavirus and oral and oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma: a population-based casecontrol study in southern Sweden. Acta Otolaryngol 2005;125: 1337-44 CrossRef Medline
- Duray A, Descamps G, Decaestecker C, et al. Human papillomavirus DNA strongly correlates with a poorer prognosis in oral cavity carcinoma. *Laryngoscope* 2012;122:1558–65 CrossRef Medline
- Goldenberg D, Begum S, Westra WH, et al. Cystic lymph node metastasis in patients with head and neck cancer: An HPV-associated phenomenon. *Head Neck* 2008;30:898–903 CrossRef Medline
- 8. Byrd JK, Wilhoit CS, Fordham MT, et al. **Predicting HPV status in** head and neck cancer: the predictive value of sociodemographic

and disease characteristics. Arch Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg 2012; 138:1155–59 CrossRef Medline

- 9. Varoquaux A, Rager O, Dulguerov P, et al. Diffusion-weighted and PET/MR imaging after radiation therapy for malignant head and neck tumors. *Radiographics* 2015;35:1502–27 CrossRef Medline
- Varoquaux A, Rager O, Lovblad KO, et al. Functional imaging of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma with diffusion-weighted MRI and FDG PET/CT: quantitative analysis of ADC and SUV. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2013;40:842–52 CrossRef Medline
- 11. Thoeny HC, De Keyzer F, King AD. **Diffusion-weighted MR imaging** in the head and neck. *Radiology* 2012;263:19–32 CrossRef Medline
- 12. Nakahira M, Saito N, Yamaguchi H, et al. Use of quantitative diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging to predict human papilloma virus status in patients with oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 2014;271:1219–25 CrossRef Medline
- 13. Kim S, Loevner L, Quon H, et al. Diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging for predicting and detecting early response to chemoradiation therapy of squamous cell carcinomas of the head and neck. *Clin Cancer Res* 2009;15:986–94 CrossRef Medline
- Schouten CS, de Graaf P, Bloemena E, et al. Quantitative diffusionweighted MRI parameters and human papillomavirus status in oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2015;36:763–67 CrossRef Medline
- 15. Just N. Improving tumour heterogeneity MRI assessment with histograms. *Br J Cancer* 2014;111:2205–13 CrossRef Medline
- King AD, Chow KK, Yu KH, et al. Head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: diagnostic performance of diffusion-weighted MR imaging for the prediction of treatment response. *Radiology* 2013;266: 531–38 CrossRef Medline
- 17. Srinivasan A, Chenevert TL, Dwamena BA, et al. Utility of pretreatment mean apparent diffusion coefficient and apparent diffusion coefficient histograms in prediction of outcome to chemoradiation in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *J Comput Assist Tomogr* 2012;36:131–37 CrossRef Medline

- Westra WH. The pathology of HPV-related head and neck cancer: implications for the diagnostic pathologist. Semin Diagn Pathol 2015;32:42–53 CrossRef Medline
- Chernock RD. Morphologic features of conventional squamous cell carcinoma of the oropharynx: 'keratinizing' and 'nonkeratinizing' histologic types as the basis for a consistent classification system. *Head Neck Pathol* 2012;6 Suppl 1:S41–47 CrossRef Medline
- 20. R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. The R Foundation for Statistical Computing. 2017. Available at: https://www.r-project.org/. Accessed January 24, 2017
- 21. Thomas J, Primeaux T. Is p16 immunohistochemistry a more costeffective method for identification of human papilloma virus-associated head and neck squamous cell carcinoma? *Ann Diagn Pathol* 2012;16:91–99 CrossRef Medline
- 22. Driessen JP, van Bemmel AJ, van Kempen PM, et al. Correlation of human papillomavirus status with apparent diffusion coefficient of diffusion-weighted MRI in head and neck squamous cell carcinomas. *Head Neck* 2016;38 Suppl 1:E613–18 CrossRef Medline
- Le Bihan D, Breton E, Lallemand D, et al. Separation of diffusion and perfusion in intravoxel incoherent motion MR imaging. *Radiology* 1988;168:497–505 CrossRef Medline
- 24. Kim H, Choi SH, Kim JH, et al. Gliomas: application of cumulative histogram analysis of normalized cerebral blood volume on 3 T MRI to tumor grading. *PloS One* 2013;8:e63462 CrossRef Medline
- 25. Ahn SJ, Choi SH, Kim YJ, et al. Histogram analysis of apparent diffusion coefficient map of standard and high B-value diffusion MR imaging in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: a correlation study with histological grade. Acad Radiol 2012;19:1233–40 CrossRef Medline
- 26. Shukla-Dave A, Lee NY, Jansen JF, et al. Dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging as a predictor of outcome in headand-neck squamous cell carcinoma patients with nodal metastases. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2012;82:1837–44 CrossRef Medline
- 27. Porz N, Bauer S, Pica A, et al. **Multi-modal glioblastoma segmentation:** man versus machine. *PloS One* 2014;9:e96873 CrossRef Medline