

Discover Generics

Cost-Effective CT & MRI Contrast Agents





Axial CT measurements of the cross-sectional area of the oropharynx in adults with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome.

E Avrahami, A Solomonovich and M Englender

AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 1996, 17 (6) 1107-1111 http://www.ajnr.org/content/17/6/1107

This information is current as of June 21, 2025.

Axial CT Measurements of the Cross-sectional Area of the Oropharynx in Adults with Obstructive Sleep Apnea Syndrome

Elieser Avrahami, Alexander Solomonovich, and Moshe Englender

PURPOSE: To determine whether narrowing of the oropharynx increases during relaxation in patients with severe obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. MATERIALS: The study included 23 adult men in whom polysomnography had established a diagnosis of obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. Subjects had an average of 53 episodes of sleep apnea per hour, with each episode lasting 40 seconds or more. Oxygen saturation dropped an average of 22% during sleep. Hypnotic relaxation was induced in all patients, and high-resolution CT scans with sagittal and coronal reconstruction of the oropharynx were obtained in the awake state and during the relaxation state using the same parameters. The cross-sectional area of the oropharynx was measured in a minimum of 10 CT axial sections in each case. RESULTS: In all patients, the narrowest cross-sectional area of the oropharynx was smaller during hypnotic relaxation than in the awake state. The average difference between both measures was 42%. In the awake state, the measurements ranged from 15 to 55 mm² (average, 38 mm²). During hypnotic relaxation, they ranged from 0 to 35 mm². Oxygen saturation during hypnotic relaxation dropped in all patients from 9% to 17% (average, 14%). No episodes of sleep apnea were observed during hypnotic relaxation. CONCLUSIONS: In patients with obstructive sleep apnea, airways were demonstrably smaller in diameter during hypnotic relaxation than during the awake state.

Index terms: Pharynx, computed tomography; Sleep studies

AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 17:1107-1111, June 1996

Narrowing of the oropharynx is responsible for obstructive sleep apnea syndrome (OSAS). It may occur at the level of the uvula–soft palate complex or at the base of the tongue. The condition can be idiopathic or result from the pickwickian syndrome, pharyngeal tumors, tonsillar hypertrophy, macrognathia, micrognathia, or central nervous system disturbances (1–9).

Clinical symptoms of OSAS include episodes of apnea during sleep, daytime hypersomnolence, tiredness, snoring, headache, nocturnal enuresis, impotence, cognitive dysfunction, hallucinations, and cardiovascular disturbances (10–16). Corrective surgical procedures for se-

AJNR 17:1107–1111, Jun 1996 0195-6108/96/1706–1107 © American Society of Neuroradiology vere OSAS may include uvulopalatopharyngoplasty, tonsillectomy, or maxillomandibular advancement surgery (17–23).

In a previous study, we established that the measurement of the narrowest axial cross-sectional area of the oropharynx on computed tomographic (CT) scans corresponds well to the severity of OSAS (24). The measurements in that study were obtained in the awake state.

In the present study, we tried to produce a condition mimicking natural sleep that was safe and that enabled CT examinations to be performed easily. We also wanted to investigate the possibility that the relaxation of the pharyngeal musculature during hypnotic relaxation results in further narrowing of the oropharynx, a hypothesis that may explain the severe OSAS in some patients.

Subjects and Methods

This prospective study included 23 men, 44 to 61 years old, in whom severe OSAS had been diagnosed clinically and by polysomnography. All subjects had had 44 to 69

Received September 8, 1995; accepted after revision January 2, 1996. From the Department of Radiology (E.A.), the Medical Hypnosis Clinic (A.S.), and the Department of ENT (M.E.), Edith Wolfson Medical Center, Holon, and Sackler School of Medicine, Tel Aviv University, Ramat Aviv, Israel.

Address reprint requests to Elieser Avrahami, MD, Department of Radiology, Edith Wolfson Medical Center, Holon 58100, Israel.

1108 AVRAHAMI

 TABLE 1: Oxygen saturation in 23 patients with severe obstructive sleep apnea syndrome

Patient	Minimal Oxygen Saturation before Hypnotic Relaxation	Minimal Oxygen Saturation during Sleep (Difference)	Minimal Oxygen Saturation during Hypnotic Relaxation (Difference)
1	100	82 (-18)	85 (-15)
2	99	80 (-19)	85 (-14)
3	98	78 (-20)	89 (-9)
4	98	77 (-21)	86 (-12)
5	100	77 (-23)	88 (-12)
6	99	76 (-23)	84 (-15)
7	98	74 (-24)	85 (-13)
8	100	75 (-25)	83 (-17)
9	99	76 (-23)	83 (-16)
10	99	81 (-18)	86 (-13)
11	98	80 (-18)	83 (-15)
12	98	79 (-19)	84 (-14)
13	99	76 (-23)	84 (-15)
14	100	79 (-21)	86 (-14)
15	98	79 (-19)	78 (-20)
16	98	73 (-25)	86 (-12)
17	99	75 (-24)	88 (-11)
18	100	74 (-26)	88 (-12)
19	100	76 (-24)	84 (-16)
20	98	76 (-22)	89 (-9)
21	98	78 (-20)	82 (-16)
22	100	80 (-20)	89 (-11)
23	99	79 (-20)	88 (-11)
Average	99	77 (-22)	85 (-14)

apneic episodes per hour (average, 53) during sleep, each with a duration of 40 seconds or more. Oxygen saturation dropped an average of 22% during sleep (Table 1). Verbal suggestions were used for inducing hypnosis, and suggestions for relaxation were given.

All hypnosis sessions were conducted by the same person, who had had 33 years' experience. The patients had four to six sessions of hypnosis with a duration of 20 minutes each before undergoing CT examination. During these sessions, an audiocassette recording that reproduced the noise of the CT scanner was played. The patients were induced into hypnotic relaxation on the CT examination table. The duration of hypnotic relaxation induction varied from 5 to 10 minutes among subjects.

In each patient, high-resolution axial CT studies of the oropharynx were obtained once with the patient awake and another time with the patient under hypnotic relaxation, using the same imaging parameters and area.

The CT studies were obtained with the patient in a supine position. Consecutive axial sections were obtained with a 2.5-mm width and in 2-mm increments followed by sagittal and coronal reconstruction. The computer window of the axial sections was set to 500 Hounsfield units with a baseline of 30 Hounsfield units. The images were photographed with a multiimager unit with the film divided into 16 exposures. The computer zoom was set to 3.05 until 1 cm of the computer scale became equal to 1 real centimeter in the photographed image.

Patient	Narrowest Area before HR, mm²	Narrowest Area during HR, mm²	Difference, mm ²	Difference, %
1	48	32	16	33
2	36	24	12	33
3	15	8	7	47
4	51	35	16	31
5	29	16	13	45
6	25	20	5	20
7	33	0	33	100
8	41	31	10	24
9	38	28	10	26
10	26	16	10	38
11	58	34	24	41
12	52	35	17	33
13	29	19	10	34
14	24	0	24	100
15	30	19	11	37
16	56	32	24	43
17	42	26	16	38
18	37	24	13	35
19	40	18	22	55
20	39	0	39	100
21	46	35	11	24
22	42	0	42	100
23	54	29	25	46
Average	38	22	16	42

TABLE 2: The minimal cross-sectional area of the oropharynx in23 patients with severe obstructive sleep apnea syndrome

Measurements were made of the airway area on the axial sections of the oropharynx in square millimeters. Only the smallest measurement in each case was used for the study. The measurements were done in the same way as in the previous study (24), using the computer program and a transparent grid in square millimeters.

The drop in oxygen saturation during hypnotic relaxation was not permanent. The degree of the drop in oxygen saturation was an indicator of the depth of the state of relaxation. The lower the drop, the deeper the state of relaxation. Oxygen saturation was measured before and during relaxation. During hypnotic relaxation, CT was always performed when oxygen saturation was lowest.

Results

Sizes of the narrowest cross-sectional area of the oropharynx in the awake state ranged from 15 to 58 mm², with the average 38 mm² (Table 2). This area was measured in 18 patients in the uvula-soft palate complex and in 5 patients at the base of the tongue. In each of the 23 patients, the narrowest cross-sectional area of the oropharynx was smaller during hypnotic relaxation than during the awake state. It ranged from 0 to 35 mm², with an average of 22 mm² (Table 2; Figs 1 and 2). In four patients, CT scans obtained during hypnotic relaxation AJNR: 17, June 1996





Fig 1. A 49-year-old man with severe obstructive sleep apnea syndrome.

A, Axial CT scan of the oropharynx obtained in the awake state.

B, Axial CT scan at the same level as A obtained during hypnotic relaxation shows obvious narrowing of the oropharynx (arrow).

C, Sagittal CT reconstruction of the oropharynx obtained in the awake state. D, Sagittal CT reconstruction of the

oropharynx obtained during hypnotic relaxation shows narrowing of the uvula-soft palate complex (arrows).





С

Α



Fig 2. A 52-year-old man with severe obstructive sleep apnea syndrome.

A, Axial CT scan of the oropharynx (arrow) obtained in the awake state.

B, Axial CT scan of the oropharynx (arrow) at the same level as A obtained during hypnotic relaxation.

Α

Fig 3. A 58-year-old man with severe obstructive sleep apnea syndrome.

A, Axial CT scan at the level of the uvula-soft palate complex (*arrow*) obtained in the awake state.

B, Axial CT scan at the same level as A obtained during hypnotic relaxation shows complete obstruction of the airway.



showed complete obstruction of the oropharynx $(0 \text{ mm}^2; \text{ Table 2 and Fig 3}).$

The difference between the measurements taken before and during hypnotic relaxation ranged from 5 to 42 mm² (average, 16 mm² or 42%) (Table 2). Oxygen saturation dropped an average of 14% in all 23 patients during hypnotic relaxation (range, 82% to 89%; average, 85%) (Table 1).

Discussion

On the basis of our previous study (24), we concluded that a size of about 50 mm² for the narrowest cross-sectional area of the oropharynx should be consistent with severe OSAS when 100 mm² represents the norm. It was unclear why some patients in that study had smaller oropharyngeal sizes yet suffered from severe OSAS while other control subjects and postoperative patients had oropharyngeal sizes above 100 mm² yet did not have OSAS (24). As mentioned, all measurements in our previous study were made while the patients were awake.

When imaged during hypnotic relaxation, the size of the narrowest cross-sectional area of the oropharynx in all 23 patients in this study was 35 mm^2 or less. In the awake state, this area measured more than 35 mm^2 in 16 of the patients, but in all of them, the measurement dropped below 35 mm^2 during hypnotic relaxation. All patients had a smaller cross-sectional area of the oropharynx during hypnotic relaxation than during the awake state, with measurements of 35 mm^2 or less (average reduction, 42%; Table 2). This reduction was associated with an average reduction of 14% in oxygen saturation. The same patients had a

more severe reduction (average, 22%) in oxygen saturation during sleep (Table 1).

On the basis of these measurements, it may be concluded that patients in whom the minimal cross-sectional oropharyngeal measurement is 35 mm² or less do not need hypnotic relaxation in order for severe OSAS to be diagnosed by means of CT. In our study, patients who were thought to have severe OSAS but who had oropharyngeal measurements above 35 mm² in the awake state, had measurements below 35 mm² during hypnotic relaxation.

Although the use of hypnosis for facilitating radiographic investigation is well established (25), there is no documentation of the use of hypnotic relaxation in aiding diagnosis by CT. Probably polysomnography alone can document OSAS, but, in ambiguous cases, CT measurements during hypnotic relaxation may be helpful in examining potential surgical candidates.

The further narrowing of the oropharynx during hypnotic relaxation may be attributed to relaxation of the musculature of the mouth. Probably a similar event occurs during sleep. We found that the decrease in oxygen saturation in sleep corresponded to the decrease in the cross-sectional area of the nasopharyngeal airway. Correspondingly, in sleep, the decrease in oxygen saturation during hypnotic relaxation was not permanent, but when it did appear, it was the best indicator of the depth of the relaxation state. During relaxation, the tongue and the uvula drop posteriorly, narrowing the crosssectional area of the oropharynx. In patients with severe OSAS, even a slight decrease in oropharyngeal width may be functionally significant.

The oropharynx may be narrowed at the level of the uvula-soft palate complex, below it (at the level of the base of the tongue), or at both levels. In this study, we used the smallest measurement taken of the cross-sectional area of the oropharynx, which is most important for the diagnosis of OSAS. During hypnotic relaxation, the decrease in oxygen saturation did not correspond to the degree of oropharyngeal narrowing, even in four patients with completely closed oropharynges. This is probably because patients under hypnotic relaxation do not have prolonged apneic episodes. The apneic episodes that occur during sleep may reduce oxygen saturation by 20%. Under hypnotic relaxation, the diameter of the airway in patients with OSAS was significantly decreased relative to a

References

 Bradley TD, Brown LG, Grossman RF, et al. Pharyngeal size in snorers, non-snorers and patients with obstructive sleep apnea. N Engl J Med 1986;315:1327–1331

similar measurement taken in the awake state.

- Grumley RL, Stein M, Gamsu G, Golden J, Dermon S. Determination of obstructive site in obstructive sleep apnea. *Laryngo-scope* 1987;97:301–308
- Orr WC, Martin RJ. Obstructive sleep apnea associated with tonsillar hypertrophy in adults. Arch Intern Med 1981;141:990–992
- Mangot D, Orr WC, Smith RO. Sleep apnea, hypersomnolence and upper airway obstruction secondary to adenotonsillar enlargement. Arch Otolaryngol 1997;103:383–386
- 5. Guilleminault C, Tikian A, Dement WC. The sleep apnea syndromes. Annu Rev Med 1986;27:465-484
- Sackner M, Landa J, Forrext T, Greeneltch D. Periodic sleep apnea: chronic sleep deprivation related to intermittent upper airway obstruction and central nervous system disturbance. *Chest* 1975;67:164–171
- Imes NR, Orr WC, Smith RO, Rogers RM. Retrognathia and sleep apnea JAMA 1977;237:1596–1587
- Vallgo A, Atroy G. Hypoventilation in acquired micrognathia. Arch Intern Med 1965;115:307–310
- Remmers JE, DeGrott WJ, Sauerland EK, Anch AM. Pathogenesis of upper airway occlusion during sleep. J Appl Physiol 1978;44: 931–968

- Guilleminault C, Riley R, Powell N. Sleep apnea in abnormal cephalometric measurements. *Chest* 1987;86:738-794
- 11. Walsh JK, Vatsantonis GP. Somnofluoroscopy as a predictor of UPPP efficacy. *Sleep Res* 1984;13:21
- Ell S, Jolles H, Galvin J. Cine CT demonstration of fixed upper airway obstruction. AJR Am J Roentgenol 1986;146:669-677
- Haponic EF, Smith PL, Bohlman ME, Allen RP, Goldman SM, Bleecker ER. Computerized tomography in obstructive sleep apnea. *Am Rev Resp Dis* 1983;127:221–226
- Bohlman ME, Haponik EF, Smith PL, Allen RP, Bleecker ER. CT demonstration of pharyngeal narrowing in adult obstructive sleep apnea. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1983;140:535–548
- Suttatt PM, Dee P, Atkinson RL, Armstrong P, Wilhoit SC. Fluoroscopic and computed tomographic features in the pharyngeal airway in obstructive sleep apnea. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1983;127: 487–492
- Rivlin J, Hoffstein V, Kolbfleisch J, McNicoles WT, Zamel N, Bryan AC. Upper airway morphology in patients with idiopathic obstructive sleep apnea. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1984;129:355–360
- Simmons FB, Guilleminault C, Silvestrei R. Snoring and some obstructive sleep apnea. Am Rev Respir Dis 1984;129:355–360
- DeBarry-Borowiecki B, Kukwa A, Blanks R. Indications for palatopharyngoplasty. Arch Otolaryngol 1985;111:659–663
- Caldarelli D, Cartwright R, Lilie J. Obstructive sleep apnea: variations in surgical management. *Laryngoscope* 1985;95:1070– 1073
- Conway W, Fuita S, Zorik F, Roth R, Hartse K, Piccione P. Uvulopalatopharyngoplasty in treatment of upper airway sleep apnea. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1980;121:121
- Waite PD, Wooten V, Lachner J, Guyette RF. Maxillomandibular advancement surgery in 32 patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. J Oral Maxillofac Surg 1989;47:1256–1261
- 22. Riley RW, Powell NB, Guilleminault C, Nino-Mureia G. Maxillary, mandibular and hyoid bone advancement: an alternative to tracheostomy in obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 1986;94:584–588
- 23. Partinen M, Guilleminault C, Quera Salva MA, Jamieson A. Obstructive sleep apnea and cephalometric roentgenograms: the role of anatomic upper airway abnormalities in the definition of abnormal breathing during sleep. *Chest* 1988;93:1199–1205
- 24. Avrahami E, Englender M. Relation between CT axial cross-sectional area of the oropharynx and obstructive sleep apnea syndrome in adults. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 1995;16:135–140
- Kroger WS. Clinical and Experimental Hypnosis. 2nd ed. Philadelphia, Pa: JB Lippincott; 1977:337–339

